

# Comparative Effects of Kinesiotaping and Electrical Muscle Stimulation on Low Back Pain and Disability Associated with Diastasis Recti

Aaiza Komal<sup>1</sup>, Hina Gul<sup>2\*</sup>, Mariya Tariq<sup>3</sup>, Muhammad Shazib Butt<sup>4</sup>

## ABSTRACT

**OBJECTIVE:** To compare the effects of Kinesio taping and electrical muscle stimulation on low back pain and disability associated with diastasis recti.

**METHODOLOGY:** A randomized clinical trial was conducted on a sample size of 48 postpartum females of diastasis recti. All participants were randomly allocated to either the EMS group or the Kinesio Taping group for a total of 4 weeks. A protocol was followed, consisting of 3 sessions per week, each lasting 40 minutes, for both groups. The outcome was measured using the two-finger method to assess inter recti distance and manual muscle testing (MMT) for abdominal muscle strengthening, as well as the Numeric Pain Rating Scale (NPRS) for low back pain and the Ronald-Morris Disability Questionnaire (RMQ) for level of disability.

**RESULTS:** The statistically significant p-value of MMT for the pre-treatment session of both groups was .013, post-treatment session value was .002, and in both groups, the p-value of NPRS for the pre-treatment session was 0.081 and the post-treatment value of .001, while the p-value of RMQ.105 for pre-treatment for both groups and .0000 for post-treatment, which shows a significant decrease in pain and disability level in both groups after taking the intervention. In both groups, the total IRD to measure inter-rectal distance was 4.00 at the pre-level and 3.00 at the post-level.

**CONCLUSION:** The group receiving EMS shows more significant results in terms of improving low back pain, disability, and RA strength compared to the group receiving KT treatment.

**KEYWORDS:** abdominal muscle, Diastasis recti, Electrical muscle stimulation, females, Kinesio taping, Low back pain, postpartum

## INTRODUCTION

A protruding abdomen and possible low back pain are symptoms of diastasis recti abdominis (DRA)<sup>1</sup>, a condition in which the rectus abdominis muscles separate along the midline. Between 30% and 60% of women experience it after giving birth, making it a common condition among postpartum women<sup>2</sup>. A common symptom of DRA is low back pain (LBP)<sup>3</sup>, which is brought on by weakening of the abdominal muscles and changes in spinal mechanics<sup>4</sup>. This illness can cause severe disability that impairs everyday functioning and quality of life. To reduce pain and regain function, effective management is essential.

Several interventions have been investigated to treat DRA and related low back pain (LBP)<sup>6</sup>. Kinesio taping (KT) is a therapeutic method that supports muscles and joints without limiting movement by applying

elastic tape to the skin<sup>7</sup>. Research has shown that it can effectively alleviate pain and improve function in a range of musculoskeletal disorders<sup>8</sup>.

To strengthen muscles and enhance function, electrical muscle stimulation (EMS) utilizes electrical impulses to induce muscle contractions<sup>9</sup>. According to research, EMS can help treat DRA by strengthening the muscles in the abdomen and reducing low back pain<sup>10</sup>. Although KT and EMS have individual advantages, few studies have compared their effects on LBP and DRA-related disability<sup>11</sup>. By contrasting the effectiveness of KT and EMS in managing LBP and disability in postpartum women with DRA, this study seeks to close this gap.

The purpose of this study is to investigate the potential benefits of NMES and kinesio-taping for abdominal muscle strengthening, aiming to decrease inter-recti distance and reduce low back pain associated with diastasis recti, as well as decrease their related disabilities. It may be beneficial to clinicians working in various physiotherapy settings.

## METHODOLOGY

This randomized controlled trial No. NCT05834153 was conducted at Islam Teaching Hospital, Mughal Surgical Clinic, Gujranwala. The sample size was calculated using the OpenEpi calculator, based on the outcome measure MMT from a previous study<sup>2</sup>. The

<sup>1</sup>M Islam Medical and Dental College, Gujranwala, Pakistan

<sup>2</sup>Riphah International University, Lahore, Pakistan

<sup>3</sup>ILM Institute, Lahore, Pakistan

<sup>4</sup>University of Sialkot, Sialkot, Pakistan

**Correspondence:** [hinaguloffic@gmail.com](mailto:hinaguloffic@gmail.com)

doi: 10.22442/jlumhs.2025.01105

Received: 16-01-2024

Revised: 18-02-2025

Accepted: 27-03-2025

Published Online: 03-06-2025



study was completed 8 months after the approval of the synopsis. Random allocation was used to select the sample, and then it was randomly allocated into groups using the Lottery Method. Random allocation through the lottery method by placing name chits in a bowl, randomly picking one by one and allocating 24 individuals in each group. Age 20 to 40 years, Diastasis recti more than 2 or 2.5cm, Diastasis recti at the level of umbilicus by two finger method<sup>7</sup>, 6 weeks postpartum cesarean section females, Multigravida females, Low back pain due to DR by NPRS above 4<sup>6</sup> were included. Normal vaginal delivery, Episiotomy, Primi gravida women, History of abdominal hernia, History of any abdominal surgery<sup>8</sup> were excluded.

**Data Collection Tools:** Numeric Pain Rating Scale: A pain screening tool known as the Numeric Pain Rating Scale (an outcome measure) is frequently used to evaluate the intensity of pain on a scale from 0 to 10, with 0 indicating no pain and 10 indicating the greatest possible pain. Manual muscle testing (MMT) for strength: Using a manual muscle test recommended by Dr. Lovett, the strength of the rectus abdominis muscle will be measured on a 0- to 5-point scale. The patient was lying face down with their arms at their sides in a crook posture. The participant was instructed to raise and reach up until the lower end of the scapula was visible. If successful, they were asked to move to the next position, which involved crossing their hands over their chest, bending forward, and elevating the scapula off the Table. After the patient successfully acquired this position, a forward-reaching motion with crossed hands was used to support the back of the head. The scores were recorded based on the postures that the patient could successfully attain and maintain, i.e., 3, 4, and 5 for each of the positions stated above<sup>10</sup>. The Roland Morris Disability Questionnaire (RMDQ) was used to assess the degree and severity of low back pain-related impairment, as indicated by higher scores on a 24-point scale. The questionnaire was administered at the start of treatment and again at the end, and clinical improvement was determined based on the results<sup>11</sup>.

**Two-finger method:** Inter Recti Distance (IRD) - The finger method was used to measure the inter recti distance. The patient was instructed to elevate their head, shoulders, and arms until the lower angle of their scapula exited the Table during expiration while lying in the hook-lie position. To measure the inter recti distance in this posture, the tester laid her fingers horizontally at the umbilicus' level. Diastasis recti abdominis is present when there is a gap of two fingers' breadth or greater<sup>12</sup>. Data analysis was performed using SPSS for Windows software, version 25.

**Data Collection Procedure:** All participants were informed of the study's objective and procedure before it began. Each of them consented to the project and the use of their data for research purposes by signing a written agreement. This was to verify that the

research was conducted in compliance with all applicable rules and regulations. Women who fulfilled the inclusion criteria were enrolled in this study.

**Recruitment:** A total of 48 participants were included in this study, all of whom continue to be followed up.

**Randomization:** Subjects were randomly assigned to two groups using a lottery method. **Blindness:** Not any. **Intervention:** EMS Group A (EMS + Exercises) - This consisted of 24 patients who received neuromuscular electrical stimulation (NMES) and core stabilization exercises.

The participants were instructed in the application process by relaxing their abdominal muscles. The rectus abdominis muscles' pubic crest and xiphoid process were bilaterally covered with four big rectangular electrodes, which were used to stimulate the muscles. The electrodes were fastened in place with straps. The parameter values used in this investigation were a pulse frequency of 80 Hz and a pulse width of 0.1 to 0.5 ms. The stimulation was applied for a total of 30 minutes. When a strong enough observable muscular contraction was produced, the intensity was gradually increased NMES with core stabilization exercises (3 sessions per week for 4 weeks)<sup>13</sup>. KT Group B (KT + Exercises) consists of 24 patients who received Kinesio taping and core stabilization exercises. For four weeks, this group received twice-weekly KT applications to the rectus abdominis muscle (RAM), the oblique abdominal muscles (OAM), and the caesarean incision. When the patient was prone, the scar method was first applied using an I band with 50% tension on the caesarean incision. Then, RAM was taped using the muscle method, from the muscle's origin to its insertion, with a tension of between 15 and 25%. After asking the patient to expand their abdomen by taking deep abdominal breaths, the band was started at the symphysis pubis with no strain and finished at the xiphoid process<sup>14</sup>. Finally, it was carried out on the muscles of the right and left external oblique. Starting with no strain from the bottom end of the 6th to 12th ribs, the process was performed. Next, the hip was rotated and flexed in the opposite direction, and a band was taped to the pubic bone with a tension of between 15% and 25%. This was followed by Kinesio taping and core stabilization exercises, three sessions per week for four weeks.

**Core stabilization exercises:** This exercise program provided guided, explicit demonstrations of the exercises to both Groups A and B's subjects. Throughout the 4-week intervention program, each exercise was performed 20 times, with the number of repetitions increasing by four each week. The following exercises were performed: crunches, reverse crunches, reverse trunk rotation, head lifts with pelvic tilt, the drawing-in manoeuvre/isometrics (with a 5-second hold), and the U-seat exercise. Each therapy session lasted forty minutes<sup>16</sup>.

**RESULTS**

A total of 48 participants were part of this study. Twenty-four participants in each group were allocated to Group A and Group B via a lottery method. A sample size of 48 was calculated, assuming a 10% attrition rate. All 48 participants completed the study, out of a total sample size of 48, and there were no dropouts. After collecting the data, analysis was performed using the latest version of SPSS. Group A received electrical muscle stimulation and core stabilization exercises, while Group B received kinesiotaping and core stabilization exercises; for the presentation of demographics and categorical features, frequency, mean ± SD, and percentage were used.

In **Table I**, the level of significance was accepted as  $p < 0.05$ . The normality of the data was tested using the Shapiro-Wilk test, which yielded a p-value less than 0.05, indicating that the data were normally distributed. Therefore, non-parametric tests were applied for analysis.

**Table I:**  
**Test of normality using the SHAPIRO-WILK test**

Variables	Shapiro-Wilk		
	Statistic	df	Sig.
Pre RA MMT	.689	48	.000
Pre RMQ	.942	48	.019
Pre NPRS	.873	48	.000
Pre IRD	.783	48	.000

In **Table II**, the baseline statistics for both groups are presented as Mean ± SD. It consists of a total of 48 female participants. The mean Age of participants in Group A was 30.8750, and the standard deviation was 4.25607. The Height of the patients was measured in inches, with a mean of 63.5833 and a standard deviation of 1.47196. The standard deviation of Weight in the same group is 4.20640, with a mean of 67.2917. Meanwhile, the mean value of BMI in this group was 25.9125, and the standard deviation was 2.00658. At the same time, Group B has an average age, Height, Weight, and BMI of 32.0000, 64.7917, 69.6250, and 25.7083, respectively, with standard deviations of 4.57783, 1.58743, 5.53104, and 2.12417.

According to **Table III**, the between-group comparison of rectus abdominis MMT shows that the pretest p-

**Table II: Demographics**

Group	Mean Age (± SD)	Mean Height (± SD)	Mean Weight (± SD)	Mean BMI (± SD)
Group A (EMS + Core Stabilization Exercises)	30.88±4.26 years	63.58±1.47 inches	67.29±4.21 kg	25.91±2.01
Group B (Kinesio Taping + Core Stabilization Exercises)	32.00±4.58 years	64.79±1.59 inches	69.63±5.53 kg	25.71±2.12

value for both groups was 0.013, and the post-test value was 0.002. The pre-treatment p-value of RMQ in both groups is 0.105, and the post-treatment p-value is 0.0000, indicating that the results are significant.

In **Table IV**, the pre-treatment p-value of NPRS for both groups is 0.081, and the post-treatment value is 0.001, indicating that the results are significant and there was a reduction in pain after treatment. **Table IV** presents the between-group comparison for inter-recti distance, with a p-value of 0.356 for both the pre- and post-treatment groups.

**Table III:**  
**Between-group comparison of Group A and Group B for RMQ and MMT using the Whitney test**

Treatment	Groups	Median	Mean Rank	p-value
Pre RMQ	A(EMS)	20.0000	27.71	.105
	B(KT)	20.0000	21.29	
Post RMQ	A(EMS)	10.0000	27.71	.0000
	B(KT)	10.0000	21.29	
Pre Rectus abdominis MMT	A(EMS)	3.0000	28.42	.013
	B(KT)	3.0000	20.58	
Post Rectus abdominis MMT	A(EMS)	4.0000	29.92	.002
	B(KT)	4.0000	19.08	

**Table IV:**  
**Between-group comparison of Group A and Group B for NPRS and IRD using the Whitney test**

Treatment	Groups	Median	Mean Rank	p-value
Pre NPRS	A(EMS)	6.0000	21.19	.081
	B(KT)	6.0000	27.81	
Post NPRS	A(EMS)	3.0000	18.60	.001
	B(KT)	3.0000	30.40	
Pre IRD	A(EMS)	4.0000	22.85	.356
	B(KT)	4.0000	26.15	
Post IRD	A(EMS)	3.0000	22.85	.356
	B(KT)	3.0000	26.15	

**Table V**, within-group comparison for pre-treatment and post-treatment for both groups using the Wilcoxon test, describes that for Group A, the p-values for MMT, RMQ, NPRS, and IRD were all 0.000 for both pre- and post-treatment. While in Group B, the p-value for MMT, RMQ, NPRS, and IRD was 0.0000.

**Table V: Within-group comparison of Group A (EMS) and Group B (KT) for change in strength, level of disability, pain and inter recti distance (Wilcoxon test)**

Tool	Group A(EMS)				P-Value	Group B(KT)				P-Value
	Pre-treatment		Post-treatment			Pre-treatment		Post-treatment		
	Median	Mean Rank	Median	Mean Rank		Median	Mean Rank	Median	Mean Rank	
RA MMT	3.0000	12.50	5.0000	.00	.000	3.0000	12.50	4.0000	.00	.000
RMQ	20.0000	12.50	8.0000	.00	.000	20.0000	12.50	12.0000	.00	.000
NPR S	5.0000	12.50	3.0000	.00	.000	6.0000	12.50	3.0000	.00	.000
IRD	4.0000	12.50	3.0000	.00	.000	4.0000	12.50	3.0000	.00	.000

## DISCUSSION

In a previous study, Situt G 2021<sup>3</sup> investigated the efficacy of NMES and kinesio taping combined with core stability exercises on inter recti distance, abdominal muscular strength, and low back discomfort in postpartum women with diastasis recti. This study demonstrated a significant improvement in the inter recti distance in both groups, as well as a notable change in muscle strength and low back pain in both groups. However, the application of NMES, along with core stabilization exercises, was found to be more effective on all outcome measures<sup>17</sup>. The current study showed that both treatment techniques are equally effective, with significant improvements in low back pain, disability, rectus abdominis strength, and a decrease in IRD. However, the treatment group receiving EMS treatment shows more significant results<sup>18</sup>.

In a previous study, Pawar PA 2020<sup>27</sup> compared two groups: one that received kinesiotaping along with abdominal exercises and the other that received abdominal exercises alone. This study demonstrated a greater effect of kinesiotaping, combined with abdominal exercises, on all aspects of strength, pain, and IRD<sup>19</sup>. In the Present Study, EMS was shown to have a significant effect in reducing IRD, pain, and disability and improving strength, compared to other treatment techniques.

In a Previous Study, Mohamed H 2020<sup>26</sup> conducted research by comparing the effects of kinesiotaping on abdominal muscle strength in postpartum females with diastasis recti who underwent cesarean sections. He claimed that kinesiotaping, along with abdominal exercises, was more effective than abdominal exercises alone in improving strength and reducing inter recti distance. In the present study, the results showed that EMS combined with exercises yielded more significant results than KT alone.

In a previous study, Kamel DM 2017<sup>20</sup> investigated the efficacy of Neuromuscular Electrical stimulation and abdominal exercises in the recovery from postnatal diastasis recti. The study showed that EMS when combined with exercises, yields better results compared to abdominal exercises alone. In the present study, the effect of EMS and KT, along with

exercises, on postpartum diastasis recti was significant. However, EMS, along with exercises, was more beneficial in all aspects for treating Dr. as compared to KT with exercises.

## CONCLUSION

The current study concluded that both treatment techniques are effective, showing significant improvements in low back pain, disability, rectus abdominis strength, and a decrease in IRD. However, the treatment group receiving EMS treatment shows more critical results in terms of improving low back pain, disability and RA strength as compared to other treatment groups receiving KT treatment.

**Ethical permission:** Riphah College of Rehabilitation and Allied Health Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan, IRB letter No. REC/RCR & AHS/23/0523.

**Conflict of interest:** There is no conflict of interest between the authors.

**Financial Disclosure / Grant Approval:** No Funding agency was involved in the research

**Data Sharing Statement:** The corresponding author can provide the data proving the findings of this study on request. Privacy or ethical restrictions bound us from sharing the data publicly.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

Komal A: Substantial contributions to the study design, acquisition of data

Gul H: Substantial contributions to the study design, acquisition of data, and manuscript writing

Tariq M: Substantial contributions to the study design, acquisition of data

Butt MS: Manuscript writing

## REFERENCES

1. Michalska A, Rokita W, Wolder D, Pogorzelska J, Kaczmarczyk K. Diastasis recti abdominis - a review of treatment methods. *Ginekologia Polska*. 2018; 89(2): 97-101.
2. Tadmare S, Bhatnagar G, Kamble R, Phad S, Landge K, Pawadshetty V. Comparison of Abdominal Exercises and Neuromuscular Electrical Stimulation On Diastasis Recti Abdominis Muscle in Postnatal Females with

- Caesarean Section. *Georgian Med News*. 2024 (346): 63-7.
3. Situt G, Kanase S. Effectiveness of NMES and taping on Diastasis Recti in postnatal women. *J Ecophysiol Occup Health*. 2021: 105-11.
  4. Sima S, Diwan A. Contemporary clinical perspectives on chronic low back pain: The biology, mechanics, etc. underpinning clinical and radiological evaluation. *JOR Spine*. 2025; 8(1): e70021.
  5. Terzic Markovic D, Kocic S, Bradic J, Jurisic-Skevin A, Jakovljevic B, Majstorovic B et al. Novel Insight into the Association between Balneotherapy and Functional State and Health Perception in Chronic Low Back Pain: A Cross-Sectional Study. *J Clin Med*. 2024; 13(17): 5248.
  6. Wenger LE, Barrett DR, Rhon DI, Young JL. Evaluating and characterizing the scope of care for interventions labeled as manual therapy in low back pain trials: a scoping review. *Physical Therapy*. 2024; 104(4): pzad178.
  7. Kojić S, Katana B, Remić D, Zlatičanin R, Vranešić AE, Pleho D et al. Application of kinesio tape technique in treatment of musculoskeletal disorders. *Knowledge Int J*. 2024; 63(4): 509-16.
  8. Zamani YS, Moradian M, Eftekharsadat B, Safiri S, Mirghafourvand M, Hasanpour S et al. The Effect of Kinesio Taping on abdominal muscles disorders in Postpartum: A systematic review and Meta-analysis. *Res Square*. 2023. doi: 10.21203/re.3/rs-2965014/v1.
  9. Afzal U, Saeed Q, Anwar MN, Pervaiz S, Shahid M, Javed R et al. Comparison of Health Parameters in Postpartum Diastasis Recti: A Randomized Control Trial of SEMG Biofeedback-Assisted Core Strengthening Exercises with Kinesiotaping vs. Non-Assisted Exercises. *Healthcare(Basel)*. 2024; 12(16): 1567. doi: 10.3390/healthcare12161567.
  10. Alizadeh Z, Halabchi F, Bodaghabadi Z, Zarandi MM, Abolhasani M, Seifi V et al. Non-invasive Body Contouring Technologies: An Updated Narrative Review. *Aesthetic Plastic Surgery*. 2024; 48(4): 659-79.
  11. Jobanputra Y, Patil S. Immediate effect of Kinesio Taping on Lumbopelvic stability in postpartum women with Diastasis Recti: A review. *Cureus*. 2023; 15(1).
  12. Saleem Z, Khan AA, Farooqui SI, Yasmeen R, Rizvi J. Effect of exercise on inter-recti distance and associated low back pain among postpartum females: a randomized controlled trial. *J Fam Reprod Health*. 2021; 15(3): 202.
  13. Gürşen C, İnanoğlu D, Kaya S, Akbayrak T, Baltacı G. Effects of exercise and Kinesio taping on abdominal recovery in women with cesarean section: a pilot randomized controlled trial. *Arch Gynecol Obstet*. 2016; 293: 557-65.
  14. Abdelaziz A, Ramirez H, Blusewicz T, Karram M. A Randomized Controlled Trial to Evaluate the Effect of Abdominal Electrical Muscle Stimulation on Abdominal Wall Restoration in Postpartum Women. *J Clin Gynecol Obstet*. 2021; 10(3): 59-66.
  15. Tan E, Tan TSE, Teo HEL, Lau LC. Complications of Caesarean delivery part 1: Early complications. *Ultrasound*. 2022; 30(2): 150-7.
  16. Kahl C, Cleland JA. Visual analogue scale, numeric pain rating scale and the McGill pain Questionnaire: an overview of psychometric properties. *Phys Ther Rev*. 2005; 10(2): 123-8.
  17. Ibrahim AA, Akindede MO, Bello B, Kaka B. Translation, cross-cultural adaptation, and psychometric properties of the Hausa versions of the numerical pain rating scale and global rating of change scale in a low-literate population with chronic low back pain. *Spine*. 2020; 45(8): E439-E47.
  18. Cuthbert SC, Goodheart Jr GJ. On the reliability and validity of manual muscle testing: a literature review. *Chiropractic Osteopathy*. 2007; 15(1): 4.
  19. Preethi PA, Vijayalakshmi K, Moses S, Mathipriya K, Pavithralochani V. Effects of Kinesiotaping along with abdomen and pelvic floor exercise on diastasis recti abdominis for postpartum women in normal delivery versus LSCS. *Biomedicine*. 2022; 42(1): 143-7.
  20. Yamato TP, Maher CG, Saragiotto BT, Catley MJ, McAuley JH. The Roland–Morris disability questionnaire: one or more dimensions? *Eur Spine J*. 2017; 26: 301-8.
  21. Vergeldt TF, Weemhoff M, IntHout J, Kluivers KB. Risk factors for pelvic organ prolapse and its recurrence: a systematic review. *Int Urogynecol J*. 2015; 26: 1559-73.
  22. Mota P, Pascoal AG, Sancho F, Carita AI, Bø K. Reliability of the inter-rectus distance measured by palpation. Comparison of palpation and ultrasound measurements. *Manual Therapy*. 2013; 18(4): 294-8.
  23. Kamel DM, Yousif AM. Neuromuscular electrical stimulation and strength recovery of postnatal diastasis recti abdominis muscles. *Ann Rehabil Med*. 2017; 41(3): 465-74.
  24. Mohamed EA, El-Shamy FF, Hamed H. Efficacy of Kinesio tape on functional disability of women with postnatal back pain: a randomized controlled trial. *J Back Musculoskel Rehabil*. 2018; 31(1): 205-10.
  25. Wang SS, Shum D, Kennedy A. Imaging of postpartum/peripartum complications. *Radiol Clin*. 2020; 58(2): 431-43.
  26. Mohamed H, Yousef A, Kamel H-E, Oweda K, Abdelsameaa G. Kinesio taping and strength

Komal et al.

recovery of postnatal abdominal muscles after cesarean section. Egypt J Phys Ther. 2020; 4(1): 13-9.

27. Pawar PA, Yeole UL, Navale M, Patil K. Effect of kinesiotaping on diastasis recti in postpartum women. Indian J Public Health Res Develop. 2020; 11(6): 692-7.
28. Stewart DE, Vigod S. Postpartum depression. New England J Med. 2016;375(22):2177-86.
29. Bienstock JL, Eke AC, Hueppchen NA.

J Liaquat Uni Med Health Sci JULY - SEPTEMBER 2025; Vol 24: No. 03

Postpartum hemorrhage. New England J Med. 2021;384(17):1635-45.

30. Rishi P, Yadav J, Aannd P, Yadav B. Efficacy of Kinesio Taping among Females with Postpartum Low Back Pain-A Quasi-experimental Study. J Clin Diagn Res. 2022;16(2).
31. Gonzalo-Carballe M, Ríos-Vives MÁ, Fierro EC, Azogue XG, Herrero SG, Rodríguez AE et al. A pictorial review of postpartum complications. Radiographics. 2020;40(7):2117-41.

